CAMEX-5 Science Rationale

Need more scientific certainty in the remote sensing of the 3 phases of water (general overarching question)

- Water Moisture see R. Ferrare's questions
- QPE in relation to large scale environment (Da-Lin Z)
- Microphysics multiple wavelength radar; upward/downward looking
- Can key uncertainties in parameterized microphysics be removed through the better understanding of remotely sensed microphysical quantities.
- Potential for icing at temperatures of -40C and below in hurricanes. This topic is scientifically interesting and has not been solved. There are also operational issues: if we are to fly a UAV, or the WB57 into hurricanes, particularly the eyewall, icing conditions could be troublesome at best (A. Heymsfield).

Genesis of Hurricane Rationale

- Genesis & Hurricane Intensity is the driver for improving forecasts. Numerical models need good observational data. The hook to USWRP
- High res numerical models really need better humidity. Drives the convection.
- Models can now handle convection much better than the past
- Measurements can be used to initialize and also validate models

Obs need to be in core and synoptic environment

More rationale

- Need vertical profiles microphysical obs at -5 to -15 deg C layer (Greg McF)
 - Liquid and Ice Water content
 - Fall speed
 - Mass
- Extend to melting layer for the radiometric qualities
- Need to consider the upper level ice content (MBiggerstaff)
- Study the potential for icing at temperatures of -40C and below in hurricanes. This topic is scientifically interesting and has not been solved. There are also operational issues: if we are to fly a UAV, or the WB57 into hurricanes, particularly the eyewall, icing conditions could be troublesome at best (A.Heymsfield from e-mail 25Nov02)

- Target of opportunity inland flooding (MBig)
- Coordination with CBLAST? Da-Lin Z sees benefits for the models
- Need a strong reason
- CBLAST/HRD is limited to 40m/s in the clear will not be in the eyewall.
- CBLAST starts summer 2003. CBLAST-2 could be in either 2004 or 2005.

Counter arguments (CBLAST and CAMEX-5)

- HRD may be strapped resources to coordinating CBLAST and CAMEX-5
- Not critical that CBLAST be coupled at this time
- Frank Marks will have to work with P. Black to see if there are CBLAST benefits to CAMEX-5. What is the common goal between the two experiments?

Coordination with CRYSTAL

CAMEX-5 NRA

- 2004 planning team based upon successful proposals?
- 2005 experiment funding for full team?
- Team with CRYSTAL sequentially happen by teaming
- Need adequate resources to satisfy competing objectives
- A single NRA for both with complementary objectives
 (e.g., CRYSTAL does clouds; CAMEX does precip.
 CAMEX-4 missed obs clouds in Humberto
- Synergy and overlap with other experiments can enhance argument at HQ